# Gamma ray induced morphological mutations in non-Basmati aromatic rice

### N.R. Chakraborty and P.C. Kole\*

Department of Crop Improvement, Horticulture and Agricultural Botany, Institute of Agriculture, Visva-Bharati, Sriniketan - 731 236, West Bengal

#### ABSTRACT

Induction of various types of chlorophyll and morphological mutations by three doses (250, 350 and 450 Gy) of gamma rays in two genotypes, developed from Gobindabhog and Tulaipanja was studied. Segregation of chlorophyll and morphological mutants in  $M_2$  generation from normal looking  $M_1$  plants indicated recessive nature of mutations. Frequency of different chlorophyll and morphological mutations was higher in Tulaipanja group. The highest frequency of chlorophyll and morphological mutations was observed in the genotype IET 14143, developed from Tulaipanja, at 450 Gy. Among different chlorophyll mutations albina was the most predominant group. In general, higher mutagenic effectiveness and mutagenic efficiency were found at 450 Gy. Various morphological mutants like grassy leaf, rolled leaf, striped leaf, and broom stick leaf and several other mutants like sterile, early flowering, late flowering, non-flowering, tall, dwarf, semi-dwarf and high yielding mutants were induced with variable frequencies in different genotypes by different doses of mutagen. The frequency of chlorophyll mutations increased with increase in dose of mutagen but no relationship between the doses of mutagen and mutation spectrum could be established in morphological mutation.

Key words: aromatic rice, gamma ray, induced mutation, morphology,

Aromatic rice has a special place in world rice market. There is an increasing demand for the production of high grain quality aromatic rice in our country due to rising standard of living and export market. Some non-Basmati traditional aromatic rice cultivars such as Gobindabhog, Tulaipanja, etc., are very popular in West Bengal due to their excellent grain quality and aroma. But these cultivars are handicapped by low yield potential. Therefore, there is an urgent need to improve the yield potential of such cultivars. Hybridization method is less preferred due to difficulty in retaining the quality characteristics of aromatic rice. Hence, mutation is one of the important tools for creation of genetic variability in aromatic rice. Therefore, the effectiveness and efficiency of different doses of gamma radiations on four genotypes derived from two aromatic rice cultivars Gobindabhog and Tulaipanja were studied in the present investigation.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Healthy unhusked seeds of pigmented mutant (designated as  $G_1$ ) and IET 13541 (designated as  $G_2$ )

of Gobindabhog and IET 14142 (designated as T<sub>1</sub>) and IET 14143 (designated as T<sub>2</sub>) of Tulaipanja were irradiated with three different doses of gamma ray viz., 250Gy, 350Gy and 450Gy from 60Co source at Central Research Institute for Jute and Allied Fibre (CRIJAF), Barrackpore, West Bengal. Approximately 500 seeds were treated for each dose of gamma ray for each genotype. The unexposed seeds of each genotype were used as control. Seeds from bagged panicles of suspected mutant plants in M, generation were sown in nursery. Screening for chlorophyll mutations was done after 7 days of germination in the nursery bed when the first leaf was fully developed. The frequency and spectrum of chlorophyll mutations per 100 M<sub>2</sub> plants were scored at the seedling stage following the classification of Gustafsson (1940). Mutagenic effectiveness and mutagenic efficiency were calculated as per following formulae:

Mutation frequency in M<sub>2</sub>

Mutagenic Effectiveness = ------

Dose of Mutagen (Gy)

Thirty-day-old seedlings were transplanted in puddled field as progeny-row with one seedling hill<sup>-1</sup>. The  $M_2$  populations were thoroughly screened at various developmental stages, particularly from flowering to maturity, for different morphological mutations based on visual observations. Mutants were identified on the basis of quantitative changes *viz.*, leaf morphology, spikelet, panicle, flowering time, tiller number, grain number as well as other variation in lodging behaviour and other morphological characters.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Different types of chlorophyll mutations (Table 1) observed in the present study could be grouped into lethal (*albina, xantha and alboxantha*) and non-lethal (*alboviridis, viridis* and *striata*) types. Different types of morphological mutations (Table 3) identified were grassy leaf, rolled leaf, striped leaf, broom-stick leaf, sterile, late flowering, early flowering, non-flowering, dwarf, semi-dwarf, tall and high-yielding mutants. The absence of above chlorophyll and morphological mutants in the  $M_1$  generation and their appearance in  $M_2$  generation indicated the recessive nature of such mutations.

Frequency of chlorophyll mutations increased with increase in the dose of mutagen (Table 1). This is in agreement with the reports of Cheema and Atta (2003). The highest frequency of chlorophyll mutations (4.86%) was observed in IET 14143 at 450 Gy treatment. In all the four genotypes, the frequency of chlorophyll mutations was higher at higher doses. The results indicated that among the different mutant classes induced, albina was highest in frequency in all four genotypes. Singh and Singh (2003) reported that the albina type of mutants was most frequent among induced rice mutants. Chlorophyll mutations alboxantha and alboviridis were not observed at different doses in IET 14143 genotypes. In case of IET 14142, alboxantha (0.03%) was found only at 450Gy dose. In genotype G<sub>1</sub>, alboxantha and alboviridis were not found in 250Gy dose, and at 350Gy the frequency of alboxantha was 0.18% and at 450Gy the frequency of alboviridis was 0.03%.

Frequencies of different chlorophyll mutants were higher in Tulaipanja group than that of Gobindabhog group. It was observed that frequency of *albina* was the most frequent chlorophyll mutant followed by *xantha* > *striata* = *viridis* in all the genotypes except  $T_1$  where, *albina* was followed by *striata* > *viridis* > *xantha*. Similar results were reported by Mahabal Ram and Zaman (1972).

The frequency of chlorophyll mutants was found to be independent of mutagenic dose of gamma ray (Bekendam 1961 and Reddi and Rao 1980). Kawai and Sato (1966) found *albina* to be the most frequent type in rice. Swaminathan *et al.* (1962) and Sree Ramla (1970) suggested that differences in the mutation spectrum and rate in different genotypes might be due to differences in the location of genes in relation to the centromere.

The chlorophyll mutants that are of common occurrence have been used as a measure of mutagenic action in the mutation breeding experiments (Kawai 1969). Mohan Rao (1972) and Rao and Rao (1983) suggested that the estimation of mutation frequency on the basis of  $M_2$  plants gave the best estimate of actual mutation frequency. The frequency of mutations expressed in  $M_2$  population basis is more realistic and helpful (Gaul 1964). A wide range of variations in the frequency of chlorophyll mutations in rice following ionizing radiations have been reported by Majeed and Majeed (1997), Shadakshari *et al* (2001).

For any mutation breeding programme, selection of effective and efficient mutagen(s) is very essential to recover high frequency of desirable mutations. The results indicated that the effectiveness of various doses and the response of genotypes varied (Table 2). In all the genotypes studied, mutagenic effectiveness increased with increase in dose except in IET 14142, where 250 Gy had highest mutagenic effectiveness (0.014). This indicated that the mutagenic effectiveness depends upon the genetic background of the material undergoing mutagenic treatment. Mutagenic efficiency is indicative of the proportion of mutations as against associated undesirable biological effects such as gross chromosomal aberration, lethality and sterility, induced by the mutagen in question suggested by Nilan (1967).

The results indicated that 450Gy treatment was

						III	erent cm	oropuy	DILIETEIL CITIOLOPIIJII IIIULAILI CIASSES	CIASSES							
Dose	Total number		Albino	Xar	Xantha	Viridis	dis	Alb	Alboxantha	Albc	Alboviridis	Striata	ata	Uni	Unidentified	Number of	Percent
	of M <sub>2</sub> . Seedlings	×	y	х	y	х	y	х	y	х	y	х	y	×	y	mutant- seedlings	of M <sub>2</sub> - seedlings
					I	Jigmen	ted mutar	it of G	Pigmented mutant of Gobindbhog Genotype	Genot	ype						
250Gy	11772	154	4 1.31	25	0.21	0	0.02	L	0.06	11	0.09	5	0.04	0	0.02	206	1.75
350Gy	8789	89	1.01	13	0.15	11	0.13	٢	0.08	6	0.1	28	0.32	24	0.27	181	2.06
450Gy	3786	113	3 2.98	26	0.69	16	0.42	З	0.08	1	0.03	34	0.9	ı	·	193	5.1
							IET	135410	13541Genotype								
250Gy	10410	78	0.75	8	0.08	8	0.08	ı	I	ī	I	14	0.13	ı	ı	108	1.04
350Gy	9733	103	3 1.06	18	0.18	ı	ı	17	0.18	ī	ı	12	0.12	ı	·	150	1.54
450Gy	6237	121	l 1.94	36	0.58	16	0.26	I	I	0	0.03	27	0.43	ı	ı	202	3.24
							IET 1	4142	IET 14142 Genotype								
250Gy	5561	67	1.2	ı	ı	7	0.04	ı	I	4	0.07	39	0.7	ı	ı	112	2.01
350Gy	3855	LL	2	12	0.31	20	0.52	ı	ı	10	0.26	20	0.52	ı	·	139	3.61
450Gy	3958	178	8 4.49	9	0.15	Ζ	0.18	-	0.03	-	0.03	10	0.25	ı	·	203	5.13
							IET J	4143	IET 14143 Genotype								
250Gy	4223	118	8 2.79	11	0.26	4	0.1	ı	ı	ı	·	9	0.14	5	0.12	144	3.41
350Gy	3570	93	2.61	19	0.53	15	0.42	ı	I	ı	ı	12	0.34	ı	ı	139	3.9
450Gy	2840	138	8 4.86	20	0.7	7	0.07		ı	ī	ı	С	0.11	ï	,	163	5.74

Table 1. Freqency and spectrum of chlorophyll mutations in M., generation of pigmented mutant of Gobindbhog Genotype, IET 13541 Genotype, ET 14142

**D** 183 **D** 

Genotype	Treatment	Number of M <sub>2</sub> plants studied	Number of mutant plants in M <sub>2</sub>	Mutation rate in $M_2(\%)$	Sterility in $M_1$ (%)	Mutagenic effectiveness	Mutagenic efficiency
Mutant of	250Gy	11772	206	1.75	53.1	0.007	0.03
Gobindbhog	350Gy	8789	181	2.06	52.63	0.006	0.04
	450Gy	3786	193	5.09	56.09	0.011	0.09
IET 13541	250Gy	10410	108	1.03	52.59	0.004	0.02
	350Gy	9733	150	1.54	53.62	0.004	0.03
	450Gy	6237	202	3.24	51.95	0.007	0.06
IET 14143	250Gy	5561	112	2.01	50.14	0.008	0.04
	350Gy	3855	139	3.61	55.54	0.01	0.06
	450Gy	3958	203	5.13	65.87	0.011	0.08
IET 14143	250Gy	4223	144	3.41	56.2	0.014	0.06
	350Gy	3570	139	3.89	57.83	0.011	0.07
	450Gy	2804	163	5.81	63.87	0.013	0.09

Table 2. Effectiveness and efficiency of gamma-rays as mutagen in four genotypes of rice

found to be most efficient in all the four genotypes. The highest efficiency (0.09) was observed in pigmented mutant of Gobind bhog and IET 14143 at 450Gy treatment. However, Chand *et al.* (2007) recorded highest efficiency at 25 kR dose of gamma rays in scented rice variety T- 23.

The low values for mutagenic efficiency could be due to the extent of damage in  $M_1$  generation, which determines the mutability of genes, irrespective of the mutagen used as with equal degree of damage, all mutagens and their doses would yield more or less comparable amount of mutations.

The frequency of morphological mutations (Table 3) induced per 1000 M<sub>2</sub> plants was the highest (7.14) in IET 14142 at 450Gy treatment. The results revealed no relationship between the doses of mutagen and mutation spectrum, although some degree of mutation specificity was noticed in certain treatments. Grassy leaf mutant was obtained at 450Gy treatments in all four genotypes and at 250 Gy dose in G<sub>2</sub> and 350 Gy dose in IET 14143. Comparing all four genotypes, high frequency (0.90) of grassy leaf mutant was observed at 450 Gy treatment in IET 14142. All the three doses induced rolled leaf mutant in G<sub>2</sub> and in both the genotypes derived from Tulaipanja group except at 250Gy dose. However, this type of leaf mutant was observed in G<sub>1</sub> only at 250Gy. The frequency of rolled leaf mutants was maximum (0.90) at 350Gy in IET 14142. Striped leaf mutant was found in both the genotypes derived from Gobindabhog at 250Gy. This type of observation was found at 350Gy and 450Gy in IET 14143 and 450 Gy treatment in IET 14142. In all four genotypes, broomstick leaf mutant was observed at 250Gy treatments. Besides, it was also noticed in other treatments such as at 450Gy in  $G_2$ , at 350Gy in  $T_1$  and at 350Gy and 450Gy in  $T_2$ . The highest frequency (0.93) of broomstick leaf mutant was observed in  $T_1$  genotype at 250Gy. Sterile mutant was found in all four genotypes at all doses except in  $G_2$  at 350Gy. The maximum number of sterile plants was observed in each genotype at 450Gy. Such sterile mutants could be used in heterosis breeding programme. Kowyama *et al.* (1994) and Singh *et al.* (1998) observed sterile mutant in  $M_2$  generation through induced mutation in rice.

Early flowering mutants, which flowered 10-15 days earlier than their respective mother lines, were obtained at very low frequency in all genotypes at different doses. The maximum frequency (0.90) of this mutant was obtained at 450Gy in IET 14142. Such early flowering mutants, although had poor grain numbers, could be used in cross-breeding programme to develop early maturing high yielding lines. Early maturing mutants through gamma irradiations in rice have been reported by Rao and Reddi (1986), Tanisaka et al. (1992), Yokoo and Okuno (1993), EI-Shouny et al. (1994), Shadakshari et al. (2001) and Singh and Singh (2003). The maximum frequency of late flowering plants (days to heading was delayed by one week to one month than their, respective mother lines) was obtained at 450Gy in all genotypes except in G<sub>1</sub> where, maximum late flowering plants were obtained at 350Gy.

				Pigmen	ited mui	Pigmented mutant of Gobindbhog	hindbhog				IET 1354	541	
	25	250Gy (1710)*	35( (14	350Gy (1440)	450Gy (720)	)(Gy ()	TOTAL (3870)	AL 0)	250Gy (1710)		350Gy (1680)	450Gy (1350)	TOTAL (4740)
Mutant characters	x	y	х	y	х	y	x	y	x y		x y	x y	x y
Late flowering	2	0.52	ю	0.78	ı	ı	5	1.3				1 0.21	1 0.21
Early flowering	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	0.26	1	0.26			1 0.21		1 0.21
Non flowering	S	1.29	9	1.55	0	0.52	13	3.36	1 0.21		2 0.42	6 1.27	9 1.9
Grassy leaf	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	0.26	1	0.26	1 0.21			1 0.21	2 0.42
Rolled leaf	1	0.26	i	ı	ī	ı	1	0.26	1 0.21		1 0.21	1 0.21	3 0.63
Broom stick	7	0.52	ı	ı	ı	ı	0	0.52	1 0.21			1 0.21	2 0.42
Striped leaf	0	0.52	ı	·	ı	ı	6	0.52	1 0.21		1 0.21	•	2 0.42
Sterile	1	0.26	1	0.26	0	0.52	4	1.04	1 0.21			2 0.42	3 0.63
Dwarf	1	0.26	ī	ı	0	0.52	ю	0.78	1 0.21			1 0.21	2 0.42
Semi dwarf	I	ı	0	0.52	б	0.78	5	1.3	1 0.21		1 0.21	2 0.42	4 0.84
Tall	ı	ı	1	0.26	ı	ı	1	0.26				2 0.42	4 0.84
High yield	ı	ı	1	0.26	0	0.52	ю	0.78	ı		ı	2 0.42	2 0.42
Total/Frequency	14	3.62	14	3.62	13	3.36	41	10.59	8 1.69		6 1.27	19 4.01	35 7.38
					IET	IET 14142						IET 14143	
	25( (15	250Gy (1500)	350Gy (1350)	Gy 50)	450Gy (1440)	0) (J	TOTAL (4290)	AL ))	250Gy (1500)		350Gy (1230)	450Gy (630)	TOTAL (3360)
Mutant characters	x	y	x	y	x	y	x	y	x y	x	k y	x y	x y
Late flowering	2	0.47	4	0.93	9	1.4	12	2.8	1 0.3	(1	2 0.6	3 0.9	6 1.8
Early flowering	1	0.23	ı		7	0.47	б	0.7	, ,	1	1 0.3	3 0.9	4 1.2
Non flowering	б	0.7	ı	ı	ı	ı	ε	0.7	1	ι N	2 0.6	4 1.19	6 1.79
Grassy leaf	ı		1	0.23	0	0.47	б	0.7	1	I	1	3 0.9	3 0.9
Rolled leaf	I.	I	0	0.47	0	0.47	4	0.94	1	<b>(1)</b>	3 0.9	1 0.3	4 1.2
Broom stick	4	0.93	-	0.23	ī.	1	ŝ	1.16	3 0.9	1	1 0.3	1 0.3	5 1.5
Striped leaf	1	1	-	0.23		0.23	0	0.46			1	1 0.3	1 0.3
Sterile	2	0.47	ς Ω	0.7	n	0.7	×	1.87		G1 .	0.9	3 0.9	8 2.4
Dwarf 2	0	0.47 2 -	— ·	0.23	m	0.7	9	1.4	3 0.9	- 1		2 0.6	6 1.79 
Semi dwarf	m	0.7	, <del>,</del>	0.23	ı <del>,</del>	1	4 (	0.94	2 0.6	7	2 0.6	1 0.3	5 1.5
Tall	ı •	1 0	-	0.23	-	0.23	77 -	0.47	, ( , (	1		1 0.3	1 0.3
High yield	1	0.23	, t	נ ו (	, č		- <sup>2</sup>	0.23 10.25				1 0.3	I 0.3 51 1510
I otal/Frequency	18	4.7	cI	<i>c.c</i>	70	4.00	cc	CC.21	12.5 21	-	04.4 CI	24 1.14	81.61 16

Oryza Vol. 46. No.3, 2009 (181-187)

x: Number of  $M_2$  - mutant plants; y: Mutant frequency per 1000  $M_2$  plants \* values in the parantheses indicate the total number of  $M_2$  plants screened

Rao and Reddi (1986) reported late flowering mutants in rice by induced mutation. Non-flowering mutants were obtained in both the genotypes of Gobindabhog group at all treatments. Maximum frequency (1.55) of non-flowering plants was found at 350Gy in  $G_1$ . In Tulaipanja, non-flowering plants were obtained at 250Gy in IET 14142 and 350Gy and 450Gy in IET 14142.

The plant height in dwarf mutant was below 90 cm (Chang et al. 1985). They possessed smaller panicle coupled with reduction in the length of the first (panicle bearing) inter-node. The maximum frequency of dwarf mutant was found in IET 14143 at 250Gy. Dwarf mutant was observed in both the genotypes of Tulaipanja at all treatments. In Gobindabhog derivatives, there was no dwarf mutant at 350Gy. Dwarf mutants were developed through utilization of the induced mutation method in rice breeding by Alionte and Alionte (1995), Singh et al. (1998), Shadakshari et al. (2001) and Singh and Singh (2003). Semi-dwarfs are medium statured plants with height of about 90-110 cm when grown under favourable conditions of the tropics (Chang et al. 1985). Such mutants were isolated from all genotypes at different treatments. The maximum frequency of semi-dwarf mutants was induced in pigmented mutant of Gobindbhog genotype at 450Gy. Induced semi-dwarf mutants in rice have been reported by Rao and Reddi (1986), Alionte and Alionte (1995), Singh et al. (1998) and Singh and Singh (2003). Maximum frequency of tall mutants (at least 10 cm taller than their respective mother genotypes) was recorded in IET 13541 genotype at 450Gy. Rao and Reddi (1986), Singh et al. (1998) and Singh and Singh (2003) reported tall mutant in rice through induced mutation.

The high yielding mutants with at least, 10 per cent more yield than their respective mother genotypes were maximally present in pigmented mutant of Gobindbhog at 450 Gy. The high yield of the mutants was not due to one trait only. Cumulative effects of a number of yield attributing traits resulted in high yield. Singh *et al.* (1998), Shadakshari *et al.* (2001) and Singh and Singh (2003) reported high yielding mutants in rice through induced mutation.

The induction of mutations *viz.*, early flowering, sterile, dwarf and semi-dwarf mutants could be useful indirectly in recombination breeding programme for

developing high yielding lines, while high yielding mutants could be of immediate use directly as varieties. The non-flowering mutants could be useful in understanding the physiological process of flowering. The possible cause of these morphological mutations or macro-mutations may be chromosomal aberrations, small deficiencies or duplications and most probably gene mutations.

#### REFERENCES

- Alionte G and Alionte E 1995. Results obtained in rice breeding (*Oryza sativa* L.) by induced mutation method. Romanian Agric. Res. 4: 53-61
- Bekendam J 1961. X-ray induced mutations in rice: effect of ionizing radiations on seeds. Proc. Symp. Lkaresruhe, FAO/IAEA, Aug. 8-12, Vienna, 1960, pp 609 – 629
- Chand U, Katoch PC and Kant A 2007. Mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency of ethyl methanesulphonate and gamma-rays in scented rice. *Oryza* 44: 61-63
- Chang TT, Carina Z, Angelita MR and Loresto GC 1985. Semidwarfs in Rice germplasm collections and their potentials in rice improvement. *Phytobreedon* 1: 1-9
- EI–Shounty KA, Mohamed AA and Aser SA 1994. Induced variation in M<sub>2</sub> generation of two rice varieties by gamma radiation. *Annals Agril. Sci. Moshtohor* 32: 817-825
- Gaul H 1964. Mutation in Plant Breeding. *Rad. Bot.* 4: 155-233
- Gustafsson A 1940. The mutation system of the chlorophyll apparatus. *Lund Univ.Arsskr* 36 : 1-40.
- Kawai T 1969. Relative effectiveness of physical and chemical mutagenesis. In: Induced mutation in plants. pp. 137-142. Proc. of Symp. on The Nature, Induction and Utilization of Mutation in Plants. IASA/FAO Puccman, Wash.
- Kawai T and Sato H 1966. Some factors modifying the effects of radiation in seed treatment in rice. Mutations in Plant Breeding Proc. Panel, Vienna, IAEA, Vienna, pp. 151-171
- Kowyama Y, Saba T, Tsuji T and Kawase T 1994. Specific developmental stages of gametogenesis for radiosensitivity and mutagenesis in rice. *Euphytica* 80:27-38
- Mahabal Ram and Zaman FU 1972. Induction of useful mutations in rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian J. Agric. Sci.

42:115-119

- Majeed A and Majeed A 1997. Varietal differences in the effects of gamma irradiation on rice seed. Sarhad J of Agric. 13: 363-369
- Mohan Rao PK 1972. The relative merits of the three methods of measuring mutation frequency in barley. *Rad. Bot.* 12: 323–329
- Nilan RA 1967. Nature of Induced Mutations in Higher Plants, Induced Mutations and their utilization, Proc. Symp. Erwin-Bauer-Gedachtnisrolegungen iv. Gatersleben, 1966. Akademie – Verla, gBerlin pp. 5-20
- Rao DRM and Reddi TVVS 1986. Azide mutagenesis in rice. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. 96: 205-215.
- Rao GM and Rao MV 1983. Mutagenic efficiency, effectiveness of physical and chemical mutagens in rice. *Cytologia* 48: 427-436
- Reddi TVVS and Rao DRM 1980. Relative effectiveness and efficiency of single and combination treatments using gamma rays and sodium aside in inducing chlorophyll mutations in rice. *Cytologia* 53: 491–498

Shadakshari YG, Chandrappa HM, Kulkarni RS and

Shashidhar HE 2001. Induction of beneficial mutants in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian J Genet. 61: 274-276

- Singh S and Singh J 2003. Mutations in basmati rice induced by gamma rays, ethyl methane sulphonate and sodium azide. *Oryza* 40:5-10
- Singh S, Richharia AK and Joshi AK 1998. An assessment of gamma ray induced mutations in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). Indian. J Genet. 58: 455–463
- Sree Ramla K 1970. Mutagenicity of radiations and chemical mutagens in sorghum. Theor. Appl. Genet. 40: 257-260
- Swaminathan MS, Chopra VL and Bhaskaran S 1962. Chromosome aberrations and the frequency and spectrum of mutations induced by EMS in barley. Indian J. Genet. 22: 192-207
- Tanisaka T, Inoue H, Uozu S and Yamagata H 1992. Basic vegetative growth and photoperiod sensitivity of heading time mutants induced in rice. Japanese J. Breed. 42: 657-668
- Yokoo M and Okuno K 1993. Genetic analysis of earliness mutations induced in the rice Cultivar Norin 8. Japanese J Breed. 43: 1-11